

Understanding the Night Stalker: An Integrated Psychological and Investigative Analysis

Georgia Marie True

University of Essex

Abstract

This case study examines Delroy Grant's long-running series of sexual offences through an integrated behavioural-science lens, demonstrating how multiple psychological and investigative frameworks illuminate the structure of his offending. Drawing on rational choice and routine activities theories, the analysis highlights how Grant's victim selection, nocturnal offending, and forensic awareness reflected calculated decision-making shaped by opportunity and perceived low risk. Behavioural consistency models and offender typologies further contextualise his stable modus operandi, revealing patterns of power-assertive motivation and organised offending across nearly two decades. Geographical profiling principles, including distance-decay and environmental range, explain the spatial clustering of his crimes in South London and Kent, highlighting how familiarity and routine movement shaped his operational zone. Crime Script Theory provides a sequential understanding of his preparation, execution, and exit behaviours, illustrating how his offending followed a refined, repeatable script centred on domination and control. Across these frameworks, the case demonstrates the value of integrating cognitive, behavioural, and spatial perspectives to understand serial offending and support investigative practice. At the same time, it highlights limitations arising from data gaps, overreliance on profiling assumptions, and failures in early crime linkage. The analysis underscores the need for evidence-based, multimethod approaches in policing complex serial sexual crimes.

Keywords: Delroy Grant, serial sexual offending, offender decision-making, behavioural profiling, geographical profiling, crime script theory.

Date of Submission: 04.03.2026

Date of Acceptance: 24.03.2026

Acknowledgements

AI Statement

The case of Delroy Grant, known as the “Night Stalker,” represents one of the most complex and prolonged series of sexual offences investigated in the United Kingdom. Between 1992 and 2009, Grant committed burglaries, rapes, and sexual assaults, with police estimating he may have been responsible for more than 100 offences (Symonds, 2011). His offending spanned nearly two decades, characterised by meticulous planning, spatial consistency, and a distinctive modus operandi. These features make Grant’s case a valuable opportunity to explore how multiple psychological and investigative frameworks operate in practice (Dodd, 2011). This case study critically analyses Grant’s behaviour using a range of offender-focused theories central to practical policing psychology. Each framework provides a different lens for interpreting Grant’s behavioural patterns, motivations, and interactions with environmental and situational factors. By applying and evaluating these theories, the study aims to demonstrate their practical utility and limitations in a real investigative context.

Offender Decision-Making

A central point to understanding Delroy Grant’s offending is the application of Rational Choice Theory (RCT) and Routine Activity Theory (RAT), both of which frame sexual offending as a series of calculated decisions shaped by opportunity, risk, and personal motivation (Beauregard and Leclerc, 2007; Miró, 2014). According to RAT, offenders seek out situations where a suitable target is present and a capable guardian is absent (Miró, 2014). Studies validate RAT’s applicability to sexual offending, demonstrating that these offenders maximise opportunities while minimising detection risk (Thornton, 2021; Hayes and Maher, 2024). Similarly, RCT research highlights that sexual offenders weigh costs and benefits, though often imperfectly due to distorted cognitions surrounding entitlement (Beauregard and Leclerc, 2007). Applying these theories to Delroy Grant illustrates a highly structured decision-making process grounded in both practicality and deviant motivation. Grant consistently targeted elderly, socially isolated victims, aligning with RAT’s theory that offenders choose individuals with absent guardianship (Dodd, 2011). His operational choices included attacking at night, disabling electricity supplies, and entering the homes silently, indicate deliberate planning aimed at reducing the risk of detection (Dodd, 2011; Symonds, 2011). These behaviours also reflect what Van Gelder (2013) terms the “cool” decision mode, characterised by foresight and calculated risk assessment. Van Gelder’s hot/cool model has bridged the gap between cognitive and affective processes that had previously been overlooked in offender decision-making frameworks (Deslauriers-Varin, 2021; van Gelder and Nagin, 2023).

A key critique to make of decision-making theories in sexual offending is that they may oversimplify the emotional, compulsive, and cognitive-distorted elements that characterise many rapists (Syasyila *et al.*, 2024). While RCT helps explain Grant's strategic behaviours, it struggles to account for the irrationality embedded in sexual offending: that perceived rewards ultimately outweigh the enormous legal and social risks (Beauregard and Leclerc, 2007). Cartwright and Craig (2023) argue that offender choices are shaped by cognitive biases, including overconfidence, risk minimisation, and moral disengagement, all of which distort perceived consequences. This challenges traditional rationality assumptions and suggests that integrating affective and cognitive-bias frameworks provides a more accurate depiction of offenders like Grant (Syasyila *et al.*, 2024). Understanding Grant's decision-making patterns provides a foundation for examining how offender profiling infers behavioural, cognitive, and psychological characteristics from these patterns, and how these tools support investigative efforts.

Offender Profiling

The General Consistency Model (GCM) proposes that offenders exhibit identifiable behavioural patterns across their crime series; it posits that these patterns remain sufficiently stable and can inform investigations (Tapper, 2008). The Major Crime Investigation Manual (NPCC, 2021) states that profiling should examine how an offender approached and departed the scene, how the offence was executed, and the offender's means, motive, and opportunity. This creates a structured framework through consistent behavioural actions across crime scenes, which form the backbone of behavioural linkage and profiling (Beauregard and Chopin, 2021). When applied to Delroy Grant, the GCM provides a coherent lens through which to interpret his long-running offending series. Grant displayed a highly stable modus-operandi, targeting elderly women living alone, typically at night, and often disabling electricity before entering their homes (Dodd, 2011). These repeated behaviours reflect clear consistency in both victim selection and operational method, two core pillars of the GCM. His organisation and forensic awareness, evident in careful planning and attempts to minimise detection, further align him with the "organised offender" behavioural class noted in profiling literature (Pal and Tomiczek, 2024). Such recurrent features align with findings that offenders with enduring motivations, specific victim preferences, and structured routines tend to exhibit the strongest behavioural stability across their crime series (Bernasco, Gelder and Elffers, 2017).

However, the GCM has notable limitations; over-reliance on expected behavioural patterns can lead to confirmation bias, causing investigations to overlook offenders who evolve, adapt, or temporarily deviate from their usual patterns. Reviews of profiling practice highlight that although behavioural consistency is supported, its ability to predict offender characteristics such as age or personality reliably is far weaker, raising concerns about the evidential weight given to profiling in live investigations (Beauregard and Leclerc, 2007). Thus, although the model is helpful for crime linkage and pattern recognition, its inferential power regarding personal characteristics remains limited and must be supplemented with forensic and investigative

evidence. Emerging trends aim to strengthen these weaknesses. Data-driven behavioural analysis and AI-assisted profiling tools now enable analysts to detect behavioural consistencies across far larger datasets; these technologies reduce subjectivity and enhance pattern-matching accuracy, supporting more reliable application of the GCM in serial-crime investigations (Cekic, 2024). These behavioural patterns and consistencies lead to typologies that classify offenders such as Grant into structured behavioural categories, further informing investigative strategies.

Use of Typologies

Typologies aim to classify offenders into categories based on recurring behavioural, motivational, and contextual features. Although widely used, it is important to acknowledge that there is no universally accepted typology of adult sexual offenders; traditional frameworks commonly refer to five broad adult sexual-offender categories: (1) Compensatory/Power-reassurance, (2) Sadistic, (3) Anger or Aggressive Aim, (4) Power-assertive, (5) Opportunistic/Antisocial (Wojcik and Fisher, 2019). Research emphasises that these classifications are often derived from offenders' motives, crime-scene behavioural evidence, and background characteristics. Additionally, these classifications rely on assumptions of both distinctiveness (the notion that offenders differ in meaningful ways) and consistency (the notion that offenders maintain stable patterns across offences) (Knight and Prentky, 1990; Oigny *et al.*, 2025). Wojcik and Fisher (2019) reinforce the diversity of sexual offenders and highlight that typologies must account for multiple dimensions of behaviour and motivation rather than relying on rigid single-factor models. Similarly, Oigny *et al.* (2025) demonstrate a continuing shift towards multidimensional, behaviourally grounded models that differentiate offender types based on crime-scene behaviours, motivations, and levels of violence.

Applying the typology system to Delroy Grant, his offending pattern most aligns with the Power-assertive category. This typology describes offenders who seek to dominate victims through acts of control, coercion, and humiliation, motivated not by sexual gratification alone, but by an intrinsic need to assert power (Knight and Prentky, 1990). Grant's offending across nearly two decades demonstrates clear alignment with this typology: his intrusions into victims' homes at night, stealthy disabling of electricity, and repeated targeting of elderly women reflect a drive to exercise dominance over vulnerable individuals. His highly controlled and planned approach to selecting victims and executing offences suggests motivational markers consistent with the Power-assertive classification (Symonds, 2011). Wojcik and Fisher (2019) also highlight how sexual offenders with structured offence routines and consistent victim targeting patterns tend to cluster with power-dominance categories.

Typologies offer investigators a structured framework for organising behavioural information, helping guide early case formulation, investigative prioritisation, and risk assessment; they can also help contextualise offender motivations and anticipate patterns of offence escalation (Wojcik and Fisher, 2019). However, their primary weakness is overgeneralisation: many offenders do not fit neatly into a single category, and studies

consistently show substantial behavioural overlap between typological groups (McLeod and Dodd, 2022). Additionally, Oigny *et al.*, (2025) argue that typologies can oversimplify the complex interplay of psychological, situational, and developmental factors that shape sexual offending. Simons (2015) notes that they may be limited by cultural or sample-specific biases, making it difficult to account for offenders who engage in crossover offending or whose motivations shift over time. These weaknesses illustrate why typologies should be used cautiously and in combination with broader investigative tools. Emerging research increasingly advocates for data-driven, validated typology models that integrate behavioural data, machine learning, and multidimensional classification approaches to enhance accuracy and reduce bias (Huang, Shen and Tung, 2022). This trend mirrors developments in profiling and crime linkage studies, with modern typologies becoming more dynamic and empirically grounded rather than static behavioural labels (McLeod and Dodd, 2022; Beauregard and Chopin, 2025). Understanding Grant's classification within the power-control typology lays the foundation for examining geographical profiling and crime linkage, in which behavioural consistencies across offences are analysed spatially to support investigative decision-making.

Geographical Profiling and Crime Linkage

David Canter's Circle-Theory asserts that there is a socially structured pattern in how offenders choose offence locations, based on familiarity, routine activity spaces, and personal movement habits (Olorenshaw, 2022). The theory outlines that crimes tend to occur within an "environmental range" defined by two key principles: distance decay: the likelihood of offending decreasing the further the location is from the offender's home; and buffer zones: areas immediately surrounding the offender's residence where they avoid committing crimes to reduce the risk of recognition or detection (Chamikara and Jayathilake, 2015; Coffey, Canter and Huntley, 2017). Research supports these assumptions, emphasising that offenders typically commit crimes close to home or within an identifiable spatial radius, guided by the least-effort principle, which predicts that offenders minimise travel distance and physical or cognitive effort when engaging in criminal activity (Olorenshaw, 2022). This spatial predictability forms the basis of geographical and computational profiling systems such as Dagnet, which rely on consistent spatial patterns to infer an offender's likely home base (Coffey, Canter and Huntley, 2017). Applying Circle-Theory to the case of Delroy Grant reveals a clear geographical consistency across his prolonged offending series. Grant's crimes were concentrated in South London and Kent, forming a tight operational zone that aligned with the environmental range predicted by Circle-Theory (Dodd, 2011). His repeat targeting of neighbourhoods within this area demonstrates a familiarity-driven pattern consistent with both the distance-decay function and the least-effort principle, as he repeatedly offended in areas already embedded in his routine movement network. Under Canter's model, such stable spatial clustering would have allowed investigators to estimate his likely home base or movement centre more efficiently. Indeed, had geographical profiling methods incorporating Circle-Theory been applied earlier, it is possible they could have narrowed the search radius by highlighting the spatial overlap and clustering of

his offence locations, thereby providing investigators with surveillance and suspect identification priorities. Coffey, Canter and Huntley (2017) highlight that geographical profiling can significantly reduce the number of such areas and the investigative workload when crime series display clear spatial consistency.

While a major strength of Circle-Theory is its ability to prioritise suspects, streamline investigations, and identify areas of interest quickly, especially in large datasets, the method's accuracy hinges on reliable, complete, and correctly linked crime data (Olorenshaw, 2022). In Grant's case, failures in early police recognition and linkage of offences meant that geographical profiling could not be effectively applied, enabling him to evade detection for years. Van der Kemp and van Koppen (2008) explain that geographical profiling accuracy decreases when crime series are incomplete, misclassified, or when offenders deliberately alter patterns. Emerging trends are enhancing geographical profiling through predictive policing technologies, GIS-based crime mapping, and machine learning spatial behavioural models that reduce human bias and incorporate broader contextual variables (Rossmo, 2025). These developments strengthen the integration of Circle-Theory into modern investigative practice. Understanding Grant's spatial consistency provides a foundation for examining crime script theory, which further explains how offenders structure their actions across repeated offences.

Crime Script Theory

Crime Script Theory (CST), originally developed by Cornish and Clarke in the 1980s, conceptualises crime as a sequence of purposeful decisions and actions (Haelterman, 2016). Within the rational choice perspective, this theory enables investigators to map not only what offenders do but also how they prepare, execute, and complete crime. Crime-scripts draw heavily on rational choice assumptions, viewing offenders as actors who weigh opportunities and risks at each stage of the crime-commission process (Chopin, Beauregard and Paquette, 2023). Crime-script research explains that scripts reflect patterned behaviours shaped by cultural reinforcement, personal experience, and ongoing learning, including "sexual-scripts" that influence an individual's understanding of what is considered normative, deviant, or personally gratifying in sexual behaviour (O'Hara *et al.*, 2020). These scripts are embedded at three levels: cultural, interpersonal, and intrapsychic, which together shape offenders' expectations, desires and decision-making frameworks during sexual offending (Dehghanniri and Borrion, 2021). CST provides a structured framework for analysing the sequential stages of offending; scripts typically incorporate components such as victim selection, identification of the hunting ground, preparation, the method of execution, and exit or post-crime behaviour (O'Hara *et al.*, 2020). Importantly, crime scripts include decision points at which offenders adapt their behaviour in response to situational factors, environmental cues, and past experiences. These decision points are not fixed but fluid, allowing offenders to adapt their methods to opportunities; research supports this, showing that crime scripts evolve as offenders refine strategies based on previous successes and failures (Dehghanniri and Borrion, 2021).

CST helps illustrate the structured routine underlying Delroy Grant's offences. Grant's preparation phase involved disconnecting electricity to victims' homes, minimising resistance, and ensuring control. His execution, involving the sexual assault of elderly victims, was similarly consistent across his offending span, reflecting an intrapsychic script centred on domination, accessibility, and vulnerability (Symonds, 2011). The exit phase, frequently involving theft and the removal of personal items, served as a stable final step in his crime script, allowing him to leave with minimal risk of detection while reinforcing behavioural patterns across offences (Symonds, 2011). When viewed through the script framework, Grant's crimes can be seen as strategic, sequential, and shaped by repeated decision-making structures consistent with long-term sexual offending.

A major strength of CST is its value for preventative strategies, as identifying script stages allows investigators and policy makers to target intervention points, for example, enhancing security around likely hunting grounds, disrupting preparatory behaviours, or increasing guardianship during high-risk phases of the script (O'Hara *et al.*, 2020). However, crime-scripts may oversimplify real-world offending by assuming linearity, whereas many offenders deviate from expected sequences or improvise when unexpected obstacles arise (Chopin, Beauregard and Paquette, 2023). Dehghanniri and Borrion (2021) also indicate that scripts may differ significantly across offenders, crime types, and context, limiting their generalisability. Emerging trends seek to strengthen crime-script applications through integration with situational crime prevention, data-driven behavioural analysis, and increasingly sophisticated modelling tools that map decision points more accurately using large datasets (Osuna and Holt, 2024). Such developments enhance the utility of crime-scripts in real investigations by making them more adaptive, empirical, and sensitive to offender variability.

In analysing the case of Delroy Grant, the application of offender decision-making theory, profiling frameworks, typologies, geographical profiling, and crime script theory provides a cohesive understanding of his offending behaviour and the investigative challenges it posed. Across these perspectives, a clear theme emerges: Grant's crimes were not impulsive but reflected structured choices, consistent behavioural patterns, and a highly organised offending script. These theories collectively illuminate how his victim-targeting decisions, geographical consistency, forensic awareness, and routine planning were shaped by rational calculations and entrenched psychological motivations. Importantly, the combined use of these frameworks demonstrates their value for policing, particularly in complex serial investigations. Decision-making models show how offenders assess opportunities and risks, while profiling and typologies help identify behavioural patterns that narrow suspect pools. Geographical profiling further exposes the spatial logic of serial offences, and crime script analysis highlights the sequenced nature of offending, identifying intervention points useful for prevention. Together, these approaches emphasise that offenders like Grant must be understood through a multifaceted lens integrating cognition, behaviour, and environment; however, overreliance on profiling assumptions, incomplete crime linkage, and data gaps can undermine investigative accuracy, as reflected in Grant's ability to

avoid detection for nearly two decades. These limitations highlight the need for policing strategies that integrate behavioural science with stronger data systems, technological tools, and proactive situational prevention.

Ultimately, Grant's case illustrates both the utility and constraints of psychological theory within policing. When applied critically and in combination, these frameworks can enhance investigative practice, facilitate earlier identification, and strengthen protections against serial sexual offending. Moreover, the case advances policing psychology by demonstrating how integrated behavioural analysis can support more accurate suspect prioritisation, guide investigative decision-making, and highlight intervention points across the crime-commission process. By showing how cognitive, spatial, and motivational insights converge, this study reinforces the value of evidence-based behavioural interpretation in shaping investigative strategy. Likewise, it underscores the importance of data-enhanced approaches, such as improved crime linkage systems and analytical technologies, that reduce reliance on subjective judgment. In doing so, this case study contributes to the ongoing development of policing psychology as a discipline grounded in empirical, multi-method behavioural science.

References

- Beauregard, E. and Chopin, J. (2021) 'Sexual Crime Investigation and Offender's Decision-Making: Rationality, Achievement, and Expertise', *Criminal Investigations of Sexual Offenses*, pp. 7–16. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-79968-7_2.
- Beauregard, E. and Chopin, J. (2025) "Sorting Things Out": A Scoping Review of Sexual Homicide Typologies', *Behavioral Sciences & the Law*, 43(4), pp. 419–435. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1002/bsl.2722>.
- Beauregard, E. and Leclerc, B. (2007) 'An Application of the Rational Choice Approach to the Offending Process of Sex Offenders: A Closer Look at the Decision-making', *Sexual Abuse*, 19(2), pp. 115–133. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1177/107906320701900204>.
- Bernasco, W., Gelder, J.-L. van and Elffers, H. (eds) (2017) *The Oxford Handbook of Offender Decision Making*. New York: Oxford University Press (The Oxford Handbooks in Criminology and Criminal Justice). Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1093/oxfordhb/9780199338801.001.0001>.
- Cartwright, A. and Craig, J. (2023) 'Empathy and Cognitive Distortions in Sex Offenders Participating in Community Based Treatment', *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*, 67(16), pp. 1599–1614. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1177/0306624X221133000>.
- Cekic, E. (2024) 'The Impact of Artificial Intelligence Tools on Criminal Psychological Profiling', *International Journal of Academic Research in Psychology*, 1(1), pp. 1–13. Available at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.46886/IJARP/v11-i1/7405>.

Chamikara, M.A.P. and Jayathilake, C. (2015) 'Geographic Offender Profiling', *Sciscitator*, 2, pp. 49–52.

Chopin, J., Beauregard, E. and Paquette, S. (2023) 'Sexual Victimization Involving Solo Female Offenders: A Crime Script Approach', *Crime & Delinquency*, 69(12), pp. 2531–2560. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1177/00111287211061717>.

Coffey, T., Canter, D. and Huntley, M. (2017) *Predicting Serial Killers' Home Base Using a Decision Support System*. Routledge. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315262338>.

Dehghanniri, H. and Borrion, H. (2021) 'Crime Scripting: A Systematic Review', *European Journal of Criminology*, 18(4), pp. 504–525. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1477370819850943>.

Deslauriers-Varin, N. (2021) *Criminal Investigations of Sexual Offenses: Techniques and Challenges*. Cham: Springer International Publishing AG.

Dodd, V. (2011) 'How Delroy Grant slipped through the police net', *The Guardian*, 24 March. Available at: <https://www.theguardian.com/uk/2011/mar/24/how-delroy-grant-slipped-police-net> (Accessed: 16 January 2026).

van Gelder, J.-L. and Nagin, D.S. (2023) 'Crime, Choice, and Context', *Journal of Research in Crime and Delinquency*, 60(4), pp. 407–415. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1177/00224278231153943>.

Haelterman, H. (2016) 'Crime as Scripts', in *Crime Script Analysis. Crime Prevention and Security Management*. Palgrave Macmillan, London. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1057/978-1-137-54613-5_2.

Hayes, B.E. and Maher, C.A. (2024) 'A Systematic Review of Lifestyle-Routine Activity Theory in the Context of Direct-Contact Sexual Victimization', *Trauma, Violence, & Abuse*, 25(1), pp. 369–392. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1177/15248380231153864>.

Huang, C., Shen, S. and Tung, T. (2022) 'Onset Crime Typology of Sexual Offenders and Their Differences on Specialization and Risk Factors', *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, pp. 845670. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.845670>.

van der Kemp, J.J. and van Koppen, P.J. (2008) 'Fine-Tuning Geographical Profiling', in Kocsis (ed.) *Criminal Profiling*. Humana Press. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-60327-146-2_17.

Knight, R.A. and Prentky, R.A. (1990) 'Classifying Sexual Offenders', in *Handbook of Sexual Assault*. Boston, MA (Applied Clinical Psychology). Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4899-0915-2_3.

McLeod, D.A. and Dodd, M. (2022) 'Modernized Female Sex Offender Typologies: Intrapsychic, Behavioral, and Trauma Related Domains', *Cogent Social Sciences*, 8(1), pp. 2085360. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2022.2085360>.

Miró, F. (2014) 'Routine Activity Theory', in *The Encyclopedia of Theoretical Criminology*. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, pp. 1–7. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118517390.wbetc198>.

NPCC (2021) 'Major Crime Investigation Manual'. Available at: <https://library.college.police.uk/docs/NPCC/Major-Crime-Investigation-Manual-Nov-2021.pdf> (Accessed: 18 January 2026).

O'Hara, A.C. *et al.* (2020) 'Crime Script Analysis for Adult Image-Based Sexual Abuse: A Study of Crime Intervention Points for Retribution-style Offenders', *Crime Science*, 9(1), pp. 26. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40163-020-00130-9>.

Oligny, K.-A. *et al.* (2025) 'A New Classification of Sexual Homicide Offenders: When the Heterogeneity of Types Allows the Detection of Potential Serial Offenders', *Homicide Studies*, 29(4), pp. 394–421. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1177/10887679231181597>.

Olorenshaw, L. (2022) *An Empirical Exploration of The Circle Theory Of Environmental Range: A Case Study Of 'Amagermanden'*. Available at: <https://urn.kb.se/resolve?urn=urn:nbn:se:mau:diva-53535> (Accessed: 18 January 2026).

Osuna, A.I. and Holt, K. (2024) 'Pornography Use and Sexual Scripts Among Men Who Have Committed Sexual Offenses', *Crime & Delinquency*, 70(3), pp. 1005–1033. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1177/00111287221115647>.

Pal, K. and Tomiczek, C. (2024) 'Offender Profiling – Methods and Case Studies', *Security Forum*, 8(1), pp. 77–87. Available at: https://doi.org/10.26410/SF_1/24/6.

Rossmo, D.K. (2025) *Geographic Profiling*. 2nd edn. New York: Routledge. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003323594>.

Simons, D.A. (2015) *Sex Offender Typologies, Office of Sex Offender Sentencing, Monitoring, Apprehending, Registering, and Tracking*. Available at: <https://smart.ojp.gov/somapi/chapter-3-sex-offender-typologies> (Accessed: 17 January 2026).

Syasyila, K. *et al.* (2024) 'The Role of Cognitive Distortion in Criminal Behavior: A Systematic Literature Review', *BMC Psychology*, 12, pp. 741. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-024-02228-0>.

Symonds, T. (2011) 'Night Stalker case: Delroy Grant's reign of terror ends', *BBC News*, 24 March. Available at: <https://www.bbc.com/news/uk-12750468> (Accessed: 18 January 2026).

Tapper, S. (2008) *Testing the Assumption of Behavioural Consistency in a New Zealand Sample of Serial Rapists*. Doctor of Philosophy in Psychology. Victoria University of Wellington.

Thornton, D. (2021) 'Sexual Offending and Classification', *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 59, p. 101436. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.avb.2020.101436>.

Van Gelder, J.-L. (2013) 'Beyond Rational Choice: the Hot/Cool Perspective of Criminal Decision Making', *Psychology, Crime & Law*, 19(9), pp. 745–763. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1080/1068316X.2012.660153>.

Wojcik, M.L. and Fisher, B.S. (2019) 'Overview of Adult Sexual Offender Typologies', in W.T. O'Donohue and P.A. Schewe (eds) *Handbook of Sexual Assault and Sexual Assault Prevention*. Cham: Springer International Publishing, pp. 241–256. Available at: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-23645-8_14.

Copyright Statement

© Georgia Marie True. This article is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Licence \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).