'The Work Lets the Earth be an Earth' (The

Origin of the Work of Art). Heidegger and

Poetry.

Jeremy Raymond

University of Essex

Abstract

What makes a work of art a work of art? Whether by music, or painting, or sculpture, or poetry,

we all have a susceptibility to be moved profoundly by art, so when we are asked what it is that

makes 'this' 'art', why are we left with so little to say? In fact, we are often left somewhat

dumbfounded that a suitable answer is not more forthcoming, and the more thought we put into

the problem the more baffling the experience seems to become. 'You know art when you see it', is

the usual explanation we eventual y concede to but of course we can see, even if we don't want to

admit, that this is no answer at all. For Heidegger, art is one area that best exemplifies his overall

project concerning the ontological examination of Being and our comportment to our reality. By

unpacking the Heideggarian lexicon of 'On the Origin of the Work of Art', I aim to elucidate and

make coherent Heidegger's explanation for this, one of our most consistently bewildering questions.

**Keywords:** Art; Heidegger; Poetry.

Essay

A rather difficult aspect of Heidegger's philosophy in *The Origin of the Work of Art* is coming to

grips with his particular usage of the words 'earth' and 'world'. While they both retain their

common everyday meaning, within Heidegger's work they are also there to refer to a conceptual

relationship. A relationship that is inherently transcendental, meaning, Heidegger wishes to show

us the unconcealment of being as a whole, beyond common thought or experience, to show us the

happening of truth. While at first glance the line 'The work lets the earth be an earth' (Krell, 1977)

may seem like airy vacuous nonsense, what this line really signifies is the culmination of some very

profound thinking about our world and our existence in it. In this essay I will make clear

Heidegger's intentions for his meanings of 'earth' and 'world' and use them to illustrate why for

Heidegger, poetry is the highest form of art possible and how through poetry works of art are able

to 'let the earth be an earth'.

Heidegger begins by asking us to consider what it is that makes a work of art, art. If we think about

it for a moment our response to this would likely be to say: 'Well, I know what a work of art is

when I see it.' We may not be able to articulate what specifically makes a work of art but we may

all recognize art when we see it.

However, this recognition does not answer Heidegger's initial question. We still have nothing to

say about what it is that 'makes' a work of art. This could be problematic. It appears that in order

to consider something a work of art, we must already recognize it as such. Logic dictates that such

circular thinking is a problem but Heidegger uses this circular nature of the essence of art to

underpin his dialectical conception of earth and world in order to reveal the 'truth' that is art. For

Heidegger the truth of art is not in representation or mimesis of life. Rather, Heidegger is referring

to ontic truth, truth that is the essence of the lived relationship we have with what really is, truth

that is made coherent from within the work of art itself. 'Thus in the work it is truth, not merely

something true, that is at work' (Wrathall, 2005).

'Letting the earth be an earth.' When first coming to this thought, and considering it on its own,

one might consider the language here. Where 'the' earth seems inert, little more than a dead rock

on which everything is found, simple material, 'an' earth, on the other hand, sounds alive, has

connotations of home, as a place from which everything comes, a contrast to the above. 'An' earth,

rather, has the feel of being a world.

World, for Heidegger, is a rather complex concept. World is our reality, within which we operate.

We interact with each other, we interact with things around us and it is all made possible by our

world. It refers to our language, our culture, our social norms, our customs and traditions as well as

the decisions we are able to make and even the possibility of random chances that may affect us.

Because of who and how we are, it is the paths that can open up for us in our interactions with the

things of our world and with each other. World is the coherent unity of our entire existence. So

what then is earth?

In the most common sense earth does refer to 'substance' such as soil. As when a plant shoots from

the earth, grows out from it. But also there is the settling back into the earth, as with the plants,

there are the roots. The plant comes forth from the earth but is settled into it via its roots in an

essential way. The plant could not come forth as if it were without this essential settling into the

earth. Heidegger talks of all things being in a relation with earth which is identical to that of the

plant. All things come forth into the world and are rooted in the earth. This is not an easy concept

but it is made much clearer through Heidegger's attention to understanding what it is and how it

is that art should do what it does.

The Greeks had the word 'aletheia' which means unconcealed or unconcealment. An artwork is

not something that just comes out into the open; it changes the Open in which it appears. The work

reveals the Open as it really is, as the meaningful existence of the world, the unconcealdness in

relation to what is concealed.

The opposite of this unconcealment is not concealment in the regular sense but is rather a 'lack of

access for simple intending' (Wrathall, 2005). I may over hear a couple speaking in Japanese but it

is concealed for me as I don't understand Japanese. I can hear that it is speech but I cannot hear the

conversation. The essence, the 'worlding' nature of the conversation is concealed for me.

That art should bring forth this unconcealedness of the world allows us a better understanding of

world and earth in our everyday lives. Take skills for example, like learning to use chopsticks. The

beginner is all too aware of trying to coordinate his or her movements; there is an awkwardness, a

required concentration, but as skill grows one finds using an item like chopsticks becoming 'second

nature', as the saying would go, which simply means: 'I am doing something without any real

awareness being necessary for me to do it.' With skill, all the things about the chopsticks the

beginner was so aware of before, disappear. The skill settles into the body and into the equipment

we use the very way the roots settle into the earth, so that our bodies and the equipment we use,

like the chopsticks, work in unison and in such a way as to be completely absent from our direct

consciousness. All our activities are like this, once we learn a skill we do it without being aware of

it. Writing and typing are like this also, there is no need to be aware of it happening (see Wrathall,

2005: 76-8); in fact being aware of the act can interfere with it. Typing is certainly an act or skill

that needs to be done on a semi-subconscious level, thinking about how one types and trying to be

aware of the act of typing, trying to explain it as one does it for example, makes it almost impossible

to type with any of the normal form or speed one has when one is not thinking about how it is they

are able to type. If we consider writing, the ability to write settles into the body and roots itself into

the equipment we use, like the pen. The body and the pen each supports the action of writing, they

work as one. This is what Heidegger refers to as letting the world 'world'. The act of writing is not

for us in a conscious way but, rather, an act that happens. For Heidegger all perceivable entities are

entities of the 'earth', and 'world' is the intelligible ordering of things, so the act is a happening

between entities and what is produced, certainly for Heidegger in the case of poetry, is art.

Where the earth then 'rises up', is the positioning, or rather discovery of, the physical limits of the

worldly things. With writing, I cannot write forever, my pen will run out, my hand will get tired;

the earth imposes limits on what the world does. This is what Heidegger refers to as the 'essential

strife' between world and earth. Both world and earth appear in the light of the way they impose

conditions and constraints on each other (Wrathall, 2005: 78-9). Earth limits the ambitions of

world and as such, world, arranging and organizing within such limits, is able to impose itself on

earth.

The work (of art) lets an entity 'shine', which is the coming together of all the separate entities that

make up the entity that is the work itself. It appears as beautiful and thus brings us to feel the world

differently, to recognize the truth of how things are, beauty being one way truth essentially occurs

for us. It is only when something 'shines' for us, that we start engaging in the practices that will let

the new way of being which is presented by the work, this new 'world', settle into the earth like a

new skill, a new 'second nature', a process which then repeats itself again and again. We can see

this process is circular and also that it is the process of truth disclosing itself. Heidegger has three

key terms describing this process: Streit, Riss and Gestalt. The dialectical relation here is of truth

giving rise to art.

Streit is Strife, the struggle between earth and world where world pushes earth to its limits and

earth then brings world back into it. The world tries to surmount the earth while the earth tends

to draw the world into itself and hold it. Riss is the 'rendering stroke', the conflictual union of the

world and earth, world truth materially embodied.

Gestalt is the configuration taken by Streit and Riss, it is the particular artistic form taken by this

conflicted union of world and earth. This Gestalt displaces what it was, its former configuration,

through a dialectical process, as it creates a new framework for people by bringing forth what was

concealed within its former configuration. What was settled back into the earth, what was forgotten

about, comes forth into the 'Openness', our being conscious of the 'world' (Haar, 1993: 98). What

this dialectical process shows Heidegger is that the truth of our world, our comportment to our

reality, is available to us all the time, though its unconcealment requires a certain interplay of world

and earth. It is art, such as painting, music and poetry, that most readily and easily creates the

conditions for the unconcealment of truth to be available to us.

The work lets the earth be an earth out of the opaque but not shapeless realm of the earth. There

are latent but not predetermined forms, real but not actualized, existing in the earth. As an example,

think of the sculptor with his block of marble; he can really bring forth something from the marble

but the characteristics of the marble, its size and shape, will be better for some works than for

others. So the work is not predetermined but it is latent, a work that isn't so great may be weak and

break, or be off balance and fall over, in such cases the artist will have exceeded the limits set by

the earth, where the great work will appear to have 'come forth' from the marble, where there is a

natural perfection, where quite possibly even the arbitrary patterns in the marble block itself take

on the appearance of being in the sculpture 'on purpose', truth revealing itself.

If we think about the poem as another form truth can take, then the poem can be taken as truth

condensing itself, pre-disclosing itself (Strife) while being prefigured in the stroke (Riss) so to give

rise to this 'figure' of poetry (Gestalt). Like the marble, truth is revealed through the crafting of the

materials, in the case of poetry, through the words, the paper and the ink. This is 'truth' tending

towards the work. What the poem unfolds into unconcealment is the 'Open' which brought the

poem forward in the first place.

The work lets the earth be an earth. With relation to poetry and while considering the material and

thingly nature of the word set out above, I considered the statement again this time substituting

'word' for 'earth'. The work lets the word be a word. The material nature of the word, the simple

markings which make up text on a page, the earthly nature of a word and worldly nature of

language set up meaning, a coherent reality that allows us to be aware of, and consider, where it is

and how it is we as a people exist. The setting forth of the earth. Unconcealment.

Earth and language, material language, is just the written markings that make up language; this is

earth for Heidegger, the earth is the language itself. These markings and sounds have nothing in

themselves; the meaning of the poem is not in the material language as such. The possibilities are

not yet explicitly present but are still prefigured like the above example of the marble sculpture.

'The material language is where the poetic work is embedded but to which it may not be reduced'

(Haar, 1993: 96). In other words, the work is made of language but cannot be reduced to mere

language.

The relation to poetry comes from this earthly side of language, the 'Sagen' or 'the naming power

of the word.' Earth in relation to a work of art like a painting or a poem 'is' the material the work

is made from. Poetry is 'made' of language. The work of the poem brings forth the material language

of our world in so far as it puts the world into a material language. However, where world is the

meaning in the work, the earth is not 'some merely acoustic body' where this meaning is

'mysteriously added' (Krell, 1977: 55). Language is more than its physical presence; it has meaning

in itself as it is a relation to the world.

Heidegger makes himself most clear in his description of a painting by Van Gogh of a peasant

woman's shoes. The art transforms the shoes, their mode of being, in which the essence of the shoes

comes forth and is brought front and centre. In normal life the shoes are equipment, like the pen

or the chopsticks, utterly invisible so long as they are doing their jobs.

When Heidegger writes of the world and of the earth and the Strife between the two he is not

describing an empirically measurable concrete phenomenon. 'The earth upon which the world is

grounded cannot be understood and determinably conceptualized' (Wrathall, 2005: 80). There is

nothing of Van Gogh's paintings, of art or artifacts of earth, nor, is there anything of one's ability

with chopsticks, of skill, or the 'worlding', that is quantifiable to directly support the claims and

explanations Heidegger attributes to these things as belonging to and depending on world and earth.

Heidegger is not trying to unfold an empirical experience for us. This dialectical relationship is

given to our understanding through Heidegger's own specific use of language, a poetic use of

language. Poetry as the art of language helps fulfill the understanding of the world that is present

in the artwork. It is only through language that the world can have any presence or reality of us at

all. It is through language that we relate to the world. Language allows us to name things and in

doing so bring them into existence in a sense. We can perceive and understand a rock or a plant because we can name, identify, and relate a rock or plant to ourselves as well as to each other. This ability afforded by language gives us our world. Creatures with no language have no such world, the squirrel that lives in a tree has no such world, it has no 'tree', it has no 'living', its world does not 'world'. It is only within language can a world then 'world'. Heidegger's description of Van Goth's painting of the peasant woman's shoes is nothing short of inspired. He describes 'stiffly rugged heaviness' the 'tenacity of her slow trudge', a 'far spreading and ever-uniform furrows of the field swept raw by wind', the 'dampness and richness' of leather and soil and the 'loneliness of the field-path as evening falls'. Inspired language that paints an even greater and more detailed and vivid picture than the one this world is derived from. Heidegger's language alone, in the form of a poem about these shoes of a peasant, could create this world for us without us ever having seen the painting. Meaning, the very truth unconcealed through the painting, could also be unconcealed for us through language. In fact, Heidegger's description could even be about another world entirely. The sections quoted above seem aptly capable of describing a scene (or painting of the boots of a soldier) from the front lines of World War II rather than peasant boots. Furthermore, this is not simply mimesis, like when a novel represents or mimes a society. The idea of considering the work as mimesis here is far too simplistic, art goes further than this: 'The work presents its own unique mode of being'. Heidegger's description, like poetry, presents something for the reader to dwell within and not merely something to decode (Krell, 1977: 43). Heidegger makes art of his own language, displays the artistic power of language. He uses language to present a unique mode of being.

Poetry is the highest form of art for Heidegger because of its use of language. Language allows us to relate to each other and to other things, so poetry occupies this position of 'privilege' as it brings about this unconcealment for people. Where art is identified as the occurrence of truth, art is the way that people can see what it is that makes them a people, what it is that makes up the underlying coherence for their existence. It is in the naming power of language though that makes this unconcealing possible at all, the essence of poetry is where poetry as art can show us the underling unity of our world and existence. One can understand more about life in 19<sup>th</sup> century London from Dickens than from straight forward historical facts and this is just because of the essence of the

<sup>1</sup> Heidegger uses this word as a verb.

work, the unconcealing. The work interacts with us in a way that straight forward historical

documents, such as official records and ledgers, may struggle (for most of us) to do. Another

example: Nietzsche appears to have been best able to understand the Greeks by concentrating on

the works of Sophocles, Aeschylus and Euripides, by understanding the insights provided by the

art. His work is full of references to such works of art.

Great art makes a world possible by letting a certain style for organizing things 'shine' and attune

us to them, this is unconcealment, the earth being an earth in poetry (Wrathall, 2005: 80). This is

why Heidegger gives poetry the pride of place as the highest of the arts, it is language itself which

makes the 'worlding' of existence found in art possible in the first place. For Heidegger poetry is

the truest form of language and all other language is simply poor poetry.

Bibliography

Clark, T. (2002) 'Martin Heidegger' London: Routledge

Haar, M. (1993) 'The Song of the Earth', translation Reginald Lilly, Indiana, MN: Indiana University

Press.

Heidegger, M. (1956) 'Existence and Being', Harrisonburg, VA: Vision.

Hofstadter, A. (1982) 'Martin Heidegger The Basic Problems of Phenomenology', Indiana, MN:

Indiana University Press.

Kirsch, A. (2008) 'The Taste of Silence', in *Poetry Foundation* [online] accessed on 1/12/2010

www.poetryfoundation.org/journal/article.html?id=180561

Krell, D.F. (ed.), (1977) 'Martin Heidegger Basic Writings, The Origin of the Work of Art'

(abridged), London: Routledge & Kegan Paul.

Wrathall, M. (2005) 'How to Read Heidegger' London: Granta Books.

©Jeremy Raymond. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International

Licence (CC BY).